# Status Report of the UELN/EVS Data Base

by

Martina Sacher<sup>1</sup>, Johannes Ihde<sup>2</sup>, Urs Marti<sup>3</sup>, Andreas Schlatter<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bundesamt für Kartographie und Geodäsie, Aussenstelle Leipzig Karl-Rothe-Str. 10-14, D-04105 Leipzig, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Bundesamt für Kartographie und Geodäsie Richard-Strauss-Allee 11, D-60598 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

> <sup>3</sup>Bundesamt für Landestopographie Seftigenstr. 264, CH-3084 Wabern, Switzerland

Presented at the EUREF-Symposium Ponta Delgada, 5-8 June 2002, Portugal

#### **Abstract**

Since the last report in 2001 the old national levelling network block of Switzerland consisting of only 13 measurements was replaced by a current network of 380 observations and more than 200 nodal points. Some problems arisen with the connections to the neighbouring countries because of crustal movements in the areas of border connections respectively instable benchmarks.

# 1. The current contents of the UELN/EVS Data Base

In the last UELN report presented at the EUREF symposium in Dubrovnik in 2001 the extension of the UELN to Bulgaria was announced. Unfortunately the handing over of the data retarded. So the integration of the Bulgarian network can be realized only in the second half year of 2002.

Besides the extensive enlargement of UELN to Eastern Europe the intensive enlargement by changing old network blocks of UELN 73/86 by current data is an objective of the UELN activities. Up to the adjustment version UELN 95/15 the levelling network of Switzerland has been represented only by 10 nodal points and 13 observations. In answering the request of the data centre the Federal Office of Topography of Switzerland made out a data set of the current Swiss first order levelling network and sent it to the BKG in March 2002. The data set contains 1183 points and 1330 measurements. The details are described in the next paragraph. Table 1 gives an overview about the current contents of the UELN database.

## 2. The new Swiss Network Block

The Federal Office of Topography handed over a data set containing the most actual measurements of the first order levelling network of Switzerland.


The 1183 points are the nodal points of the network as well as some selected stable intermediate points.

In detail were handed over 1183 points with

- Coordinates in the ETRS89
- Gravity values
- Heights in several Swiss height systems (LN02, LHN95)
- Velocities derived from a kinematic adjustment of all measurements since 1902

and 1330 observations with

- Geopotential differences
- Distances
- A-priori standard deviations as used in the Swiss adjustment
- Years of observation.



**Figure 1:** UELN 95/16 – Status of the adjustment and enlargement

The organization of the repeated levellings in Switzerland differs from those in most other countries. There are no separate epochs but a minimum of observation crews are working permanently. That's why the period of observation of the current Swiss network covers more than 50 years. Considering the recent crustal movements in the area of the Alps and this timing of the measurements, the increasing of closing errors was to be expected.

Here are the parameters of the separate adjustment of the Swiss network (only nodal points):

| Number of fixed points:                     | 1     |
|---|-------|
| Number of unknowns:                         | 238   |
| Number of measurements:                     | 387   |
| Degrees of freedom:                         | 149   |
| A-posteriori standard deviation referred to |       |
| 1 km levelling distance in kgal · mm:       | 1.09  |
| Mean value of the standard deviation of the |       |
| adjusted geopotential numbers ( = heights), |       |
| in kgal·mm:                                 | 5.91  |
| Average redundancy:                         | 0.385 |

In order to test the influence of the very long epoch the measurements were reduced to a unified epoch 1960 using the velocities, which were handed over with the Swiss data.

**Table 1:**Contents of the UELN/EVS - Data Base

| Country                | Number of    | Number of    | National             | Whole First   | Epoch of    | Epoch of each | Year of Input | Kind of      | Further Epochs                        |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
|                        | Nodal Points | Observations | Heights<br>Available | Order Network | Observation | Meas. known   | in UELN       | Observations |                                       |
| Austria                | 96           | 145          | X                    | X             | 1966-1992   | X             | 1995          | ΔC           |                                       |
| Belgium                | 35           | 54           |                      |               | 1969-1975   |               | 1980          |              |                                       |
| Bosnia/Herz. + Croatia | 46           | 64           | X                    | X             | 1970-1973   | X             | 1998          | ΔC, Δh       |                                       |
| Czech Republic         | 53           | 82           | X                    | X             | 1973-1992   | X             | 1995          | ΔC, Δh       | 1939-1959                             |
| Denmark                | 738          | 1035         | X                    | X             | 1982-1994   | X             | 1998          | ΔC           | 1885-1905,<br>1943-1961               |
| Estonia                | 35           | 45           | X                    | X             | 1959-1996   | X             | 1999          | ΔC, Δh       |                                       |
| Finland                | 67           | 89           |                      |               | 1935-1972   | X             | 1980          | ΔC           |                                       |
| France                 | 126          | 1785         |                      |               | 1962-1969   | X             | 1980          | ΔC           |                                       |
| Germany                | 498          | 1508         | X                    | X             | 1974-1992   | X             | 1995          | ΔC, Δh       | east 1953-1959<br>west 1934-1964      |
| Hungary                | 43           | 51           |                      | X             | 1975-1978   | X             | 1995          | ΔC, Δh       |                                       |
| Italy                  | 64           | 97           |                      |               | 1942-1971   | X             | 1980          | ΔC, Δh       |                                       |
| Latvia                 | 126          | 158          | X                    | X             | 1968-1988   |               | 1999          | ΔC, Δh       |                                       |
| Lithuania              | 46           | 72           | X                    | X             | 1933-1998   | X             | 2000          | ΔC, Δh       |                                       |
| Netherlands            | 842          | 932          | X                    | X             | 1969-1975   | X             | 1997          | ΔC, Δh       | 1926-1940,<br>1950-1964,<br>1986-1996 |
| Norway                 | 120          | 194          |                      |               | 1912-1978   | X             | 1980          | ΔC           |                                       |
| Poland                 | 118          | 217          | X                    |               | 1973-1980   |               | 1996          | ΔC           |                                       |
| Portugal               | 15           | 22           |                      |               | 1943-1969   | X             | 1980          | ΔC, Δh       |                                       |
| Romania                | 65           | 89           | X                    | X             | 1974-1986   | X             | 1999          | ΔC, Δh       |                                       |
| Slovakia               | 53           | 74           |                      | X             | 1973-1980   |               | 1996          | ΔC           |                                       |
| Slovenia               | 11           | 15           | X                    | X             | 1970-1973   | X             | 1996          | ΔC           |                                       |
| Spain                  | 79           | 101          |                      |               | 1925-1974   | X             | 1980          | ΔC, Δh       |                                       |
| Sweden                 | 92           | 122          |                      |               | 1950-1967   |               | 1980          | ΔC           |                                       |
| Switzerland            | 232          | 380          | X                    | X             | 1943-2001   | X             | 2002          | ΔC, Δh       | 3 additional epochs                   |
| United Kingdom         | 45           | 60           |                      |               | 1951-1958   |               | 1980          | ΔC           |                                       |

Now the parameters of the adjustment changed as follows:

A-posteriori standard deviation referred to
1 km levelling distance in kgal · mm:
0.86

Mean value of the standard deviation of the adjusted geopotential numbers ( = heights), in kgal · mm:
4.68

The differences between these two adjustment versions confirm the assumption of the influence of vertical crustal movements.


# 3. The integration of the new Swiss network block in the UELN

The exchange of the old Swiss network block by the new observations requires the height differences to the border points of the neighbouring countries. Seven of the 13 points, which formed the old Swiss network block, are no longer included in the new network. They have been destroyed or were taken out because of their instability (see table 2). However, the including of the boundary points is necessary for the connection to the neighbouring countries. So some additional observations containing the old boundary points were introduced.

In a first adjustment variant 95/16-1 the new Swiss network block was introduced without any corrections.

| D 1      | C       | <b>W</b> C | D1                  |
|----------|---------|------------|---------------------|
| Boundary | Country | Year of    | Remarks             |
| point    |         | measure-   |                     |
|          |         | ment       |                     |
| 26       | A       | 1976       | probably stable     |
| 27       | A       | 1915       | very stable         |
|          |         | 1943       |                     |
|          |         | 1950       |                     |
| 28       | A       | 1974       | stability unknown,  |
|          |         |            | new measurements    |
|          |         |            | are planned         |
| 75       | F       | 1952       | destroyed           |
| 76       | F       | 1956       | destroyed, was      |
|          |         | 1964       | very instable, new  |
|          |         |            | measurements are    |
|          |         |            | planned             |
| 77       |         | 1052       | 1                   |
| 77       | D       | 1953       | destroyed           |
| 81       | I       | 1956       | area of subsidence, |
|          |         | 1992       | velocity ca.        |
|          |         |            | 5 mm/y              |

**Table 2:** Remarks on the Swiss boundary points



OLLIN 30/10

~ Old Swiss Measurements

99 Area of border connection with number of the border point

Figure 2: Swiss part of UELN

|                 |                           | 95/15 | 95/16-1 | 95/16-2 | 95/16-3 | 95/16-4 |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Full<br>network | $s_0$                     | 1.13  | 1.19    | 1.12    | 1.11    | 1.12    |
| network         | $s_{\Delta h}$            | 6.72  | 7.42    | 6.70    | 6.51    | 6.50    |
|                 | $\mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{H}}$ | 19.67 | 20.49   | 19.60   | 19.27   | 19.29   |
| СН              | $s_0$                     | 1.06  | 1.10    | 0.88    | 0.88    | 1.09    |
|                 | $s_{\Delta h}$            | 8.03  | 2.44    | 1.93    | 1.93    | 2.39    |
| A               | $s_0$                     | 0.80  | 0.82    | 0.86    | 0.85    | 0.81    |
|                 | $S_{\Delta h}$            | 3.63  | 3.73    | 3.87    | 3.83    | 3.66    |
| D               | $s_0$                     | 0.84  | 0.85    | 0.84    | 0.84    | 0.85    |
|                 | $s_{\Delta h}$            | 3.82  | 3.85    | 3.84    | 3.83    | 3.84    |
| F               | $s_0$                     | 2.01  | 2.44    | 2.37    | 2.02    | 2.03    |
|                 | $S_{\Delta h}$            | 14.00 | 16.92   | 16.49   | 14.12   | 14.16   |
| Ι               | $s_0$                     | 1.76  | 3.51    | 1.82    | 1.84    | 1.75    |
|                 | $S_{\Delta h}$            | 13.99 | 26.69   | 14.42   | 14.57   | 13.93   |

**Table 3:** Comparison of UELN adjustment results for different variants of the Swiss network

The adjustment variant 95/16-2 contains the Swiss measurements reduced to the epoch 1960 as described in paragraph 2.

The adjustment variant 95/16-3 is the same as in 95/16-2, but without the border connection containing point 76. This point got 2 different numbers in France and in Switzerland, respectively. The height difference after the adjustment between these points was about 10 cm.




Figure 3: UELN 95/16-1 – Isolines of precision


The adjustment variant 95/16-4 contains the Swiss network without corrections as in variant 95/16-1, but the connections containing the boundary points 76 and 81 are removed by introducing 2 different point numbers for the same point. The differences of the adjusted heights at the points 76 and 81 are 10.5 cm and 19.4 cm, respectively. In this variant doesn't exist any connection between Switzerland and Italy.

Table 3 shows the different adjustment results (a-posteriori standard deviation  $s_0$  referred to 1 km levelling and mean value of the standard deviation of the adjusted geopotential differences  $s_{\Delta h}$  in kgal·mm) for the 4 variants and the previous adjustment 95/15 containing the old Swiss network block. The different weights of the observation groups in the variance component estimation lead to the distribution of the errors of the border connections towards the groups with the lower weights (France, Italy).

The results of variant 95/16-1 are unacceptable because of the big strain at the boundary points 76 to France and 81 to Italy (see figures 2 and 3). The variants 95/16-2 and especially 95/16-3 show better results, but the reduction of the observations to a common epoch is problematic. Because of the using of the velocities from the kinematic adjustment for the reduction the observations aren't independent.

That's why variant 95/16-4 should get the preference. (see figure 4)

Nevertheless the analysis of all these variants shows the necessity of the establishment of some new stable boundary points at the borderline to France and Italy.



Reference Point

Figure 4: UELN 95/16-4 – Isolines of precision

Here are the parameters of the adjustment variant 95/16-4:


| Number of fixed points:                           | 1     |
|---|-------|
| Number of unknowns:                               | 3617  |
| Number of measurements:                           | 5066  |
| Degrees of freedom:                               | 1449  |
| A-posteriori standard deviation                   |       |
| referred to 1 km levelling distance in kgal · mm: | 1.12  |
| Mean value of the standard deviation of the       |       |
| adjusted geopotential numbers ( = heights),       |       |
| in kgal · mm:                                     | 19.29 |
| Average redundancy:                               | 0.286 |

Table 4 shows the results of the variant component estimation.

| Group of mea-                   | Number of | Sum of    | A posteriori  |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| surements                       | obser-    | redundan- | standard dev. |
|                                 | vations   | cies      | [kgal mm]     |
| Austria                         | 144       | 39.057    | 0.81          |
| Belgium                         | 54        | 19.484    | 1.22          |
| Switzerland                     | 387       | 150.423   | 1.09          |
| Germany                         | 766       | 272.992   | 0.85          |
| Denmark                         | 1038      | 312.871   | 0.59          |
| Spain                           | 101       | 27.231    | 1.84          |
| France                          | 175       | 46.293    | 2.03          |
| Italy                           | 97        | 32.538    | 1.75          |
| Netherlands                     | 935       | 163.949   | 1.09          |
| Portugal                        | 22        | 5.861     | 1.77          |
| Great Britain                   | 60        | 15.000    | 1.72          |
| Norway                          | 194       | 70.992    | 1.67          |
| Finland                         | 89        | 20.142    | 0.76          |
| Sweden                          | 122       | 34.865    | 1.74          |
| Czech<br>Republic               | 82        | 27.478    | 1.07          |
| Hungary                         | 60        | 13.728    | 0.51          |
| Poland                          | 221       | 63.392    | 0.96          |
| Slovakia                        | 74        | 18.550    | 1.41          |
| Croatia, Bos-<br>nia/Hz., Slov. | 79        | 19.393    | 0.90          |
| Romania                         | 89        | 28.722    | 1.73          |
| Estonia                         | 46        | 10.377    | 1.31          |
| Latvia                          | 159       | 35.559    | 1.67          |
| Lithuania                       | 72        | 20.091    | 0.94          |
| Total                           | 5066      | 1449.000  |               |

### 4. Conclusions and Outlook

Since the last EUREF symposium in Dubrovnik 2001 the UELN didn't expand to the East, but the process of intensive enlargement was continued. That means the substitution of such national network blocks that were already part of UELN-73/86 by current measurements with a denser network configuration. Figure 5 shows the current network configuration.



**Figure 5:** UELN 95/16

While including the new Swiss network block in the UELN the precision of the network decreased because of recent crustal movements in the border area and instable points, respectively. The analysis of the data shows the necessity of the establishment of some new border points and of the observation of new connections. Only after that a new UELN solution containing the new Swiss network can be published. The influence of recent crustal movements inside the Swiss network could only be restrained by a kinematic adjustment.

### 5. References

AUGATH, W. (1994): Proposals for a European Task Force on Vertical Datums within the EUREF-Subcommission. Presented at the EUREF Symposium, June 8-10,1994, Warsaw. Veröffentlichung der Bayerischen Kommission für die Internationale Erdmessung, Heft Nr. 54, S. 171-175, München 1994.

AUGATH, W. (1996): *UELN-2000 - Possibilities, Strategy, Concepts - or: How should we realize a European Vertical System?* Presented at the EUREF Symposium in Ankara, May 22-25, 1996. Veröffentlichung der Bayerischen Kommission für die Internationale Erdmessung, Heft Nr. 57, S. 170-174.

- BROUWER, F. J. J., DE MIN, E. J. (1994): On the Definition of a European Vertical Datum. EUREF Symposium in Warsaw, June 8-10, 1994, Poland. Veröffentlichung der Bayerischen Kommission für die Internationale Erdmessung, Heft Nr. 54, S. 176-183, München 1994.
- EHRNSPERGER, W., KOK, J. J. (1986): Status and Results of the 1986 Adjustment of the United European Levelling Network UELN-73. Paper contributed to the Symposium on Height Determination and Recent Crustal Movements in Western Europe, Federal Republic of Germany, Sept. 15-19, 1986.
- LANG, H., SACHER, M. (1995): Status and Results of the Adjustment and Enlargement of the United European Levelling Network 1995 (UELN-95). EUREF Symposium Kirkkonummi, Finland, May 3-6, 1995. Veröffentlichung der Bayerischen Kommission für die Internationale Erdmessung, Heft Nr. 56, S. 86-96. München 1995.
- LANG, H., SACHER, M. (1996): Status and Results of the Adjustment and Enlargement of the United European Levelling Network 1995 (UELN-95). EUREF Symposium Ankara, Turkey, May 22-25, 1996. Veröffentlichung der Bayerischen Kommission für die Internationale Erdmessung, Heft Nr. 57, S. 163-169, München 1996.
- LANG, H., SACHER, M., SCHOCH, H. (1997): Status and Results of the Adjustment and Enlargement of the United European Levelling Network 1995 (UELN-95). EUREF Symposium Sofia, Bulgaria, June 04-07, 1997. Veröffentlichung der Bayerischen Kommission für die Internationale Erdmessung, Heft Nr. 58, S. 85-90, München 1997.

- MARTI, U., SCHLATTER, A. (2002): *The new Height System in Switzerland*. IAG Proceedings of the Symposium on Vertical Reference Systems, Cartagena, Colombia, Springer-Verlag (in press)
- SACHER, M., LANG, H., IHDE, J. (1998): Status and Results of the Adjustment and Enlargement of the United European Levelling Network 1995 (UELN-95). Presented at the EUREF-Symposium in Bad Neuenahr-Ahrweiler, 1998.
- SACHER, M., IHDE, J., CELMS, A., ELLMANN, A. (1999): *The first UELN stage is achieved, further steps are planned.* Presented at the Symposium of the IAG Subcommission for Europe (EUREF) in Prague, June 2-4, 1999.
- SACHER, M., IHDE, J., CORCODEL, S. (2000): Status of the UELN/EVS Data base and Results of the Last UELN adjustment. Report on the Symposium of the IAG Subcommission for Europe (EUREF), Tromsø, 22-24 June 2000, Veröffentlichung der Bayerischen Kommission für die Internationale Erdmessung, Heft Nr. 61, S. 126-131, München 2000.
- SCHOCH, H. (1995): *Beschreibung des Programmsystems HOENA*. Institut für Angewandte Geodäsie, Leipzig, März 1995 (not published).